

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,115	02/02/2004	Svein Ellingsrud	1101.111US02	9358
759	90 06/18/2004		EXAMINER	
Patterson, Thuente,			LEDYNH, BOT L	
Skaar & Christensen, P.A. 4800 IDS Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
80 South 8th Street			2862	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2100			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/770,115	0,115 ELLINGSRUD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Examiner Art Unit			
		Bot LeDynh	2862	Au		
Th Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover shat with the o	correspondence ac	idress		
THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to replay recovery	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion poly within the set or extended period for reply will, by state served by the Office later than three months after the man term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.		
Status						
1)∐ Resp	oonsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)∐ This	action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of	Claims					
4)⊠ Clain 4a) O 5)⊡ Clain 6)⊠ Clain 7)⊡ Clain	n(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with den(s) is/are allowed. n(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Pa	apers					
	pecification is objected to by the Exami					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	cement drawing sheet(s) including the corr ath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Ackno a) All 1. 2. 3.	by b	ents have been received.  ents have been received in Application of the certified copies not received to the certified copies not received.	ion No ed in this National	•		
	o attached detailed Office action for a li	scor the certified copies not receive	Les Con	hve		
Attachment(s)	foreness Cited (PTO POS)		HA.	ot Ledynh		
2)  Notice of Dra 3)  Information I	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Prima	ary Examiner		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3,6,8,10-16, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6696839. The claims of the patent do not disclose the step of "producing the hydrocarbon ... reservoir." It is common sense that after detecting the hydrocarbon (oil)-containing reservoir, one would extract the hydrocarbon (oil) volume from the well to make profit or to use it. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to produce the hydrocarbon volume from a well after the well is detected to make profit from the search of the oil-bearing reservoirs.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 14-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The parent application does not disclose the limitations "natural gas" and "preparing a map that comprises a depiction of at least a portion of the boundary of the hydrocarbon-containing reservoir as derived from the process of locating the boundary of the hydrocarbon-containing reservoir (see claims 14-15)."

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bot LeDynh whose telephone number is 5712722231. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nancy Le can be reached on 5712722235. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,115

Art Unit: 2862

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BL/ 2004

Bot LeDynh, JD, PhD, DA Primary Examiner